

# OPUS 2

INTERNATIONAL

London Bridge Inquests

Day 1

July 6, 2018

Opus 2 International - Official Court Reporters

Phone: 0203 008 6619

Email: [transcripts@opus2.com](mailto:transcripts@opus2.com)

Website: <https://www.opus2.com>

1 Friday, 6 July 2018  
 2 (10.28 a.m.)  
 3 Submissions by MR HOUGH  
 4 THE CHIEF CORONER: Good morning, Mr Hough.  
 5 MR HOUGH: Good morning, sir. This is the second pre-inquest  
 6 hearing in the inquest concerning the deaths arising from the  
 7 London Bridge and Borough Market terrorist attack of  
 8 3 June 2017. The purpose of this hearing is to give  
 9 an update on the police investigation and on our team's  
 10 preparations and to deal with a number of procedural issues.  
 11 May I begin by introducing the representation. I appear with  
 12 Aaron Moss as counsel to the inquests. Bircham Dyson Bell  
 13 are solicitors to the inquest, a team led by Sian Jones.  
 14 Gareth Patterson QC, instructed by Hogan Lovells, appears for  
 15 the families of six of those who died, Christine Archibald,  
 16 James McMullan, Alexander Pigeard, Kirsty Boden,  
 17 Sébastien Bélanger and Sara Zelenak. Dominic Adamson,  
 18 instructed by Slater Gordon, is for the family of  
 19 Xavier Thomas. The family of Ignacio Echeverria is not  
 20 represented, but is in communication with police family  
 21 liaison officers. Andrew Taylor, instructed by Karen Todner,  
 22 appears for the widow of Khuram Butt. Kirsten Sjøvoll,  
 23 instructed by Birnberg Peirce, appears for further relatives  
 24 of Khuram Butt. The widow partner of Rachid Redouane is  
 25 represented by Imran Khan, who are not in attendance but who

1

1 have filed written submissions. Members of the family of  
 2 Youssef Zaghba are not represented, but there have been  
 3 communications with them about the inquest.  
 4 Richard Horwell QC and Matthew Butt appear for the  
 5 Commissioner of Police of the Metropolis. Fiona Barton QC  
 6 appears for the City of London Police. Samantha Leek QC  
 7 appears for the Secretary of State for the Home Department  
 8 and is representing security agencies. Philip Hatherall of  
 9 Capsticks is for the London Ambulance Service. Guy Ladenberg  
 10 appears for the London Fire Commissioner. John Gayer is for  
 11 the Director General of the Independent Office of Police  
 12 Conduct. Howard Stevens QC, instructed by Kennedys, appears  
 13 for Hertz UK Limited and Probus Insurance. Fiona Canby is  
 14 for Transport for London.  
 15 Sir, last week, solicitors to the inquest circulated an  
 16 agenda for today's hearing and a set of written submissions  
 17 from us. Those have been made available to the press. As at  
 18 the previous hearing, I propose dealing with matters in the  
 19 order set out in the agenda, but before I do so, may  
 20 I briefly address two applications to interested person  
 21 status.  
 22 Both are made under section 47(2)(m) of the Coroners and  
 23 Justice Act 2009, which gives you discretion to designate as  
 24 an interested person any person you think has a sufficient  
 25 interest. The legal principles governing applications on

2

1 that basis were covered in our written submissions for the  
 2 last hearing at paragraph 8.  
 3 First, Mr Patterson, at paragraph 4 of his document,  
 4 makes an application on behalf of Celine Hotonnier, the  
 5 cousin of Sébastien Bélanger. In our submission, the  
 6 application plainly justifies that she be designated as an  
 7 interested person in relation to his inquest. Ms Hotonnier  
 8 was very close to her cousin and she provides a valuable link  
 9 between the family and their lawyers. Her interest is, we  
 10 submit, clear.  
 11 THE CHIEF CORONER: Can I just pause you there, Mr Hough --  
 12 MR HOUGH: Of course.  
 13 THE CHIEF CORONER: -- just to see if there are any  
 14 representations in respect of that.  
 15 Mr Patterson, I have read your document.  
 16 MR PATTERSON: Yes.  
 17 THE CHIEF CORONER: I can indicate it's an application I'm likely  
 18 to grant. I just want to hear if there are any other  
 19 representations that are made. No?  
 20 Well, Mr Hough, I will grant that application.  
 21 MR HOUGH: Thank you, sir.  
 22 The second is by Transport for London, which has made  
 23 an application to be designated an interested person in the  
 24 inquests of the eight victims of the attack. It does so on  
 25 the basis that the inquests are likely to concern physical

3

1 security measures on London Bridge and that it has some  
 2 responsibility for the Bridge. We support that application,  
 3 at least so far as it relates to the inquests of those who  
 4 are on the Bridge, namely Christine Archibald and  
 5 Xavier Thomas. We do so because the application demonstrates  
 6 a clear and proper interest in an aspect of the inquiry.  
 7 THE CHIEF CORONER: Yes.  
 8 MR HOUGH: Once again, I will pause.  
 9 THE CHIEF CORONER: Yes. Ms Canby, again, it's an application  
 10 I am likely to grant. I will see whether there are any other  
 11 representations that are made.  
 12 MS CANBY: Thank you, sir.  
 13 THE CHIEF CORONER: No. That application again, Mr Hough, is one  
 14 that I will grant. Thank you.  
 15 MR HOUGH: May I now turn to the agenda items and address them in  
 16 turn.  
 17 The first is update on investigations and disclosure. As  
 18 we explained at the last hearing, the attacks have been  
 19 a subject of major police investigation by the  
 20 Metropolitan Police Counter-Terrorism Command called  
 21 Operation Datival. At paragraph 5 of our submissions we  
 22 summarise the various aspects of that investigation. Let me  
 23 please outline them.  
 24 The police team have taken over 1,800 witness statements.  
 25 They have seized and viewed huge amounts of CCTV footage both

4

1 of the attacks themselves and in their investigation of the  
 2 attackers and their preparations. They have collected and  
 3 analysed body-worn video from numerous officers who attended  
 4 the scene. Extensive forensic work has been done, including  
 5 on the van used in the attack, the attackers' knives and the  
 6 petrol bombs found in the van. Some work is still going on.  
 7 A series of digital media devices such as phones and  
 8 computers were seized and have been subject to detailed  
 9 analysis to discover communications made and websites viewed.

10 A series of expert reports have been prepared on subjects  
 11 including ballistics, collision reconstruction, the effects  
 12 of immersion on Xavier Thomas and the implications of  
 13 apparent steroid use by the attackers. The police have  
 14 prepared a series of investigation reports for the inquest  
 15 dealing with what happened to each of the victims, the events  
 16 of the attack, the lives of the attackers and their  
 17 preparations.

18 The Commuter Aided Modelling Bureau of the police have  
 19 continued their work on a full-scale computer model of the  
 20 scene to assist the inquest as well as the investigation.

21 For the purposes of disclosure, we have set up an online  
 22 platform called Opus, available to all interested persons.  
 23 In the usual way for such inquests, disclosure is being given  
 24 only to those who have signed confidentiality undertakings.  
 25 Documents are being reviewed and disclosed in tranches.

1 As regards progress so far, as we promised at the last  
 2 hearing, we gave early disclosure of most of the statements  
 3 which were originally supplied to us by the police. Those  
 4 comprise most of the statements of witnesses to the attacks  
 5 on the victims who died.

6 We have disclosed the report of the IOPC, which fully  
 7 explains the events from the time the police arrived and  
 8 confronted the attackers. We have disclosed the post-mortem  
 9 examination report for each of the victims. We have  
 10 disclosed the police investigation reports on each of the  
 11 victims which detail the witness, video and physical evidence  
 12 about what happened to each of them. We will shortly be  
 13 disclosing the police investigation reports on the attackers'  
 14 actions on the night and their preparations for the attack.

15 Recently, we received a further 354 statements from the  
 16 police team. They too will be reviewed and uploaded to the  
 17 disclosure platform. Further statements, investigation  
 18 reports and expert reports will also be uploaded in the  
 19 coming weeks and months. The disclosure exercise will be  
 20 a massive task.

21 Let me turn then to scope of the inquests. Sir, at the  
 22 last hearing, you made decisions on the organisation of the  
 23 inquests. You decided that there should be, first of all,  
 24 a hearing of the inquest concerning the victims of the attack  
 25 without a jury and immediately thereafter a hearing of the

1 inquest concerning the attackers with a jury.

2 We now turn to the question of scope of inquiry in those  
 3 inquests. In such high profile and important inquests, the  
 4 inquiry will typically be of wider scope than is strictly  
 5 necessary for the determinations which will be produced at  
 6 the end. Furthermore, any ruling on scope of inquiry you  
 7 make now does not exclude the possibility that further  
 8 avenues of inquiry will open up as the investigation  
 9 proceeds.

10 In paragraph 8 of our document for this hearing, we  
 11 suggested an indicative scope of inquiry. For the benefit of  
 12 all those present, in very brief summary, the topics are: for  
 13 all of the inquests, the background and preparations of the  
 14 attackers. For the inquests concerning Xavier Thomas and  
 15 Christine Archibald, the movement of the vehicle on the  
 16 Bridge and how they each came to suffer fatal injuries. For  
 17 the inquests concerning the remainder of the victims, the  
 18 events of the knife attacks which followed and how each  
 19 victim came to die. For the inquests concerning the  
 20 attackers, the events of the attack by way of background and  
 21 the confrontation with police in which they were killed.

22 In their submissions, interested persons have generally  
 23 expressed their agreement with our proposed indicative scope,  
 24 including subtopics we've set out in our document.

25 The families have made a couple of points about scope of

1 inquiry which I will address shortly. First of all,  
 2 Mr Patterson at paragraph 9 of his submissions identifies the  
 3 points of greatest interest to those he represents. As he  
 4 suggests, those points are already covered by the indicative  
 5 scope we suggest, although I would make one qualification.  
 6 We have said that the inquiry should include, and I quote:

7 "The extent to which each of the attackers had come to  
 8 the attention of the UK and other national authorities before  
 9 the attacks and whether their activities gave any warning  
 10 signs (to include some consideration of what was known to MI5  
 11 about Khuram Butt and his associates)."

12 We fully understand why Mr Patterson's clients frame  
 13 their question as how it was that the authorities did not  
 14 prevent the attacks, but we consider that our form of  
 15 question is more balanced and covers the relevant subject  
 16 matter. It doesn't presuppose that the authorities could  
 17 have prevented the attacks, but poses the question.

18 Also, and I know Mr Patterson is alive to this point, the  
 19 extent to which the inquest can look into investigations of  
 20 the security services may be limited by national security  
 21 considerations. I will return to that topic a little later.

22 Secondly, both Mr Patterson and Mr Adamson make the good  
 23 point that the indicative scope does not refer expressly to  
 24 the question of physical security measures on London Bridge.  
 25 That certainly is a subject that we intend to consider and

1 one which we have raised with the police team some while ago.  
 2 On reflection, we accept that it's worth specifically  
 3 mentioning it in the indicative scope and we therefore  
 4 propose adding a subtopic to those at paragraph 8(b) of our  
 5 document in the following terms:

6 "And physical security measures on the Bridge (so far as  
 7 relevant to the deaths)."

8 May I then turn to arrangements for selection of witness  
 9 and address this briefly. Once further statements and  
 10 reports have been disclosed, we will prepare and circulate  
 11 draft witness lists, one for each of the two hearings, with  
 12 a view to interested persons reviewing and commenting on  
 13 those lists so that we can then move to the task of  
 14 timetabling the hearings.

15 As in other important inquests, we will be inviting the  
 16 families of the victims to prepare pen portrait statements  
 17 about the life and character of each person who died. We are  
 18 aware that the families will welcome that opportunity to tell  
 19 the story of their loved ones.

20 Fourth, supporting evidence. We have identified in our  
 21 written submissions the expert witnesses we presently intend  
 22 calling to give evidence. They are the forensic  
 23 pathologists, the collision reconstruction expert, the  
 24 ballistics expert, the expert on steroid effects and the  
 25 expert on the effects of immersion on Mr Thomas. If any IP,

9

1 interested person, considers that expert evidence from other  
 2 disciplines is needed, we'd ask that they make that request  
 3 or suggestion as soon as possible. We haven't received any  
 4 such suggestions so far. Obviously, we keep an open mind.

5 Video evidence and visual aids: in consultation with the  
 6 inquest team, the police have been working on a master  
 7 compilation of CCTV material which will present the key  
 8 footage of the attackers' preparations and of the attacks  
 9 themselves. They are also working on individual compilations  
 10 of footage specific to each of the victims. Those  
 11 compilations will be disclosed via Opus when they are ready  
 12 and it's our intention to use them both in the introductory  
 13 evidence at the start of each hearing and when questioning  
 14 witnessed.

15 The computer model of the scene, to which I referred  
 16 earlier, will also be used both to provide an overview  
 17 fly-through of the events of the attacks and to illustrate  
 18 particular areas in detail.

19 May I now move to anonymity and special measures  
 20 applications. The court has before it five applications for  
 21 anonymity and special measures as follows. First of all,  
 22 an application by eight firearms officers from the  
 23 Metropolitan Police who were involved in the confrontation  
 24 with the attackers. Secondly, an application by  
 25 three firearms officers from the City of London Police who

10

1 were also involved in that confrontation. Third,  
 2 an application by the widowed partner of Rachid Redouane on  
 3 behalf of herself and her child. Fourth, an application by  
 4 the widow of Khuram Butt. Fifth, an application by two  
 5 further relatives of Khuram Butt.

6 Each of the applications asks for the subjects of the  
 7 application to be anonymised in disclosed documents and in  
 8 hearings. The applications of the officers also ask that  
 9 they be screened when giving evidence. As we read the  
 10 applications, the other applicants haven't asked for such  
 11 screening for the good reason that they're unsure whether  
 12 they will be called to give evidence, but they reserve the  
 13 right to ask if they are called.

14 We set out the governing legal principles in detail at  
 15 paragraph 20 of our document. I don't believe they are in  
 16 dispute, certainly from any interested person. In short,  
 17 unless the refusal of anonymity or special measures would  
 18 expose a person to a real and immediate risk of death or  
 19 serious harm, then the question whether to grant such  
 20 applications is resolved by a judicial balancing exercise.  
 21 The interests in favour of granting anonymity and/or special  
 22 measures, which typically include Article 8 privacy rights of  
 23 the applicants, are weighed against the adverse effects of  
 24 the orders sought on the open justice principle and on the  
 25 Article 10 free speech rights of media organisations.

11

1 The applications are fully set out in writing and we have  
 2 given our response to each other than the last in our  
 3 document. The reason we didn't respond to the last in our  
 4 document is that it arrived in the last couple of days and  
 5 I make no criticism of the applicants in that respect. We'll  
 6 summarise our position and then let the applicants and others  
 7 make any submissions they wish to today.

8 As regards the applications of the firearms officers, we  
 9 submit they should be granted in view of the following  
 10 factors. First, if the orders were refused, the officers  
 11 would be identified very publicly. That would prevent them  
 12 in future moving into policing roles in which the officers'  
 13 identities should be protected, as firearms officers often  
 14 do. They would be prevented from developing their careers  
 15 and their respective forces might lose valuable resources.

16 Second, because of the high profile nature of these  
 17 cases, the officers would be identified in the press as those  
 18 involved in the killing of extremist terrorists. They are  
 19 understandably concerned that that might make them or their  
 20 families the subject of reprisal attacks. Even if they are  
 21 not at a real and immediate risk of death or serious harm,  
 22 their concerns are very easy to understand at a human level.

23 Third, granting the orders would not adversely affect the  
 24 quality of the evidence or the hearing itself. The offices  
 25 would still be seen by interested persons, lawyers and

12

1 a jury. By contrast, refusing the officers might cause the  
2 officers distress and impair their ability to give their best  
3 evidence.

4 Fourthly, senior officers have given plausible evidence  
5 that the recruitment and retention of firearms officers would  
6 be made more difficult if these applications in this very  
7 high profile case were refused.

8 Now, in making those points, I should stress that we are  
9 not saying that any armed officer would justify an order for  
10 anonymity or special measures in any inquest. It's the  
11 particular circumstances of these inquests and these officers  
12 which lead us to take the position we take.

13 As regards the applications of the widowed partners of  
14 Rachid Redouane and Khuram Butt, we submit that those  
15 applicants should not be anonymised. Three factors are  
16 particularly significant in the balancing exercise, we say.

17 First, each of them has been named already in multiple  
18 press reports which remain accessible online and in response  
19 to simple internet searches. Therefore, any order would only  
20 provide limited practical protection for their identities.

21 Secondly, the evidence at the inquests will inevitably  
22 include the lives and preparations of the attackers. The  
23 reporting of that evidence will be affected if the names of  
24 two attackers' partners cannot be disclosed.

25 Thirdly, other steps can be taken which would provide

13

1 practical protection for those individuals. We would support  
2 these individuals being screened if they give evidence at the  
3 inquests and we would support special measures being taken to  
4 allow them to arrive at and leave court without being  
5 recognised. We also say that their current addresses should  
6 be redacted from any documents on the basis that they're  
7 quite simply irrelevant.

8 As regards the child of Rachid Redouane's widowed  
9 partner, we accept that the name of the child should be  
10 redacted if it appears in disclosure documents and shouldn't  
11 be given during the hearing. At the moment, we can't see how  
12 the name of the child would be relevant and there are obvious  
13 good reasons for that name to be withheld.

14 I turn finally to the application of other members of the  
15 Butt family, which was recently received. As regards  
16 applicant one, we submit that the application in respect of  
17 that person and those who live with them should be deferred  
18 pending the provision of further evidence about the effects  
19 on applicant one of the anonymity orders being refused. The  
20 applicant and one of those who live with them have been named  
21 already in prominent press reports which remain assessable.

22 We are also aware that granting these individuals  
23 anonymity would affect reporting of evidence at the inquests  
24 as these people are likely to feature in evidence about  
25 Khuram Butt's life.

14

1 However, we have been provided with some specific  
2 evidence that applicant one was very badly affected by  
3 initial reporting and is a very vulnerable person. I shan't  
4 say more at this stage.

5 In those circumstances, we would like to see more  
6 definitive evidence about the potential effects on this  
7 person if the orders are refused. We appreciate that the  
8 consequence of deferring this application is that the names  
9 of a number of members of Khuram Butt's family will be  
10 redacted and ciphered in the disclosure which is to be given  
11 to interested persons in the coming weeks and months, but if  
12 the applications are ultimately refused, a key would be  
13 provided giving their names as against the relevant ciphers  
14 and the names could be disclosed in open court.

15 Finally, in relation to applicant two, that person's  
16 application only asks that the name of the person's children  
17 be redacted from documents and not disclosed in the hearing.  
18 We support the application as made once again, given that the  
19 children's names are not relevant and that withholding them  
20 will not have any material effect on reporting of the  
21 relevant evidence.

22 As regards how these applications are to be addressed, we  
23 propose in relation to all except that of applicant one,  
24 which we are suggesting be deferred, that you, sir, direct  
25 that media organisations have fourteen days in which to

15

1 respond to the applications, so 20 July, and the applicants  
2 then have a further seven days in which to reply, so by  
3 27 July, before a written ruling is made. Media  
4 organisations can request copies of any of the applications  
5 from solicitors to the inquests to enable them to make their  
6 submissions.

7 THE CHIEF CORONER: Can I just pause you there, Mr Hough.

8 MR HOUGH: Certainly.

9 THE CHIEF CORONER: Is your proposal that I give a direction in  
10 relation to the time by which the additional material should  
11 be served or simply that we should refer back to this  
12 application in due course?

13 MR HOUGH: The latter.

14 THE CHIEF CORONER: Yes.

15 MR HOUGH: I don't want to say too much in a public forum, but  
16 I don't want to apply specific time pressure to that  
17 exercise.

18 THE CHIEF CORONER: No. Thank you.

19 MR HOUGH: Topic seven, security-sensitive evidence. Some  
20 information about what was known to the authorities about the  
21 attackers is set out in a comprehensive report of  
22 David Anderson QC, which is available online. It shows that  
23 Khuram Butt had been the subject of a priority investigation  
24 from mid-2015 and that MI5 had had some communications about  
25 Youssef Zaghba from a foreign agency. Ms Jones, Mr Moss and

16

1 I are all security cleared or Developed Vetted. We shall be  
2 reviewing security intelligence materials and discussing them  
3 with MI5 with a view to introducing into evidence as much  
4 relevant material as we can without compromising national  
5 security. We will be conducting that review from  
6 an independent viewpoint, as we did in the comparable  
7 exercise in the Westminster Bridge case. We hope for and  
8 expect a constructive set of discussions.

9 Eighth and finally, the timing of the inquests and future  
10 case management. We remain of the view that two back to back  
11 inquests are likely to last around two months in total.  
12 That's a rough estimate at this stage because a lot of work  
13 still has to be done on the evidence.

14 Given the need for the very substantial disclosure task  
15 to be completed and then to allow interested persons properly  
16 to prepare for the hearing after the bulk of disclosure has  
17 been given, we have suggested that the inquest start either  
18 directly or very shortly after Easter 2019, which is  
19 21 April 2019. That timescale will also avoid the hearing  
20 being disrupted by the Easter holiday.

21 We expect the hearings to take place in this courtroom.  
22 We'd previously raised the possibility of having the  
23 proceedings live streamed to UK embassy or consular premises  
24 overseas to allow them to be viewed by family members living  
25 out of the UK. If that is wanted by anyone, we would ask the

17

1 families concerned to let us know as soon as possible as such  
2 arrangements take time to set up.

3 We also suggest finally that a third and probably final  
4 pre-inquest hearing should be scheduled for January 2019 to  
5 allow for any remaining procedural issues to be resolved,  
6 again with reasonable time before our anticipated start date.  
7 So that's what I propose to say at this stage unless you have  
8 any points to raise with me.

## DIRECTIONS

10 THE CHIEF CORONER: No. I think the sensible thing, Mr Hough, is  
11 for me to effectively go through the agenda just to see what  
12 submissions there are today in relation to the proposals that  
13 are set out. And I think probably the best thing is if  
14 I take item 6 first of all, which is the anonymity  
15 applications, the proposal is set out in the document of the  
16 timetable. So 14 days from today being 20 July for any  
17 written representations to be made by the press and media  
18 organisations, with a further date of 27 July for those  
19 making the applications to respond, save for the one  
20 application which you have identified as one that should be  
21 deferred. Can I just see whether there are any submissions  
22 or observations in relation to that proposal?

23 MR HOUGH: Sir, this is also an opportunity for any of the  
24 applicants who want to address you orally to do so.

25 THE CHIEF CORONER: Yes, absolutely.

18

1 MR HOUGH: I'm not saying that they have to because the written  
2 submissions are very (inaudible).

3 THE CHIEF CORONER: The written submissions are fairly  
4 comprehensive, but of course if anyone wishes to add to it  
5 now is their opportunity to do so.

6 Well, on that basis, Mr Hough, I will make the directions  
7 as sought, so the date of 20 and then 27 July for those  
8 respective submissions to be made.

9 MR HOUGH: Those and other directions will be posted on the  
10 website later today.

11 THE CHIEF CORONER: Thank you, and I make it very clear that I am  
12 deferring the one application in respect of AB, and we'll  
13 come back to that in due course.

14 Secondly, can I take item 4 on the agenda, which was the  
15 expert evidence, and really what I'm going to direct here  
16 unless anyone wishes to make any observations to the contrary  
17 is that if there are any other experts they should be  
18 identified as soon as is possible to the inquest team.  
19 Again, I don't imagine anyone wishes to say very much about  
20 that? I'm conscious that a lot of work has been done and  
21 a lot of assistance has been provided to the inquest team  
22 from the various agencies who are present or represented here  
23 today.

24 MR HOUGH: We understand, of course, that it may be difficult for  
25 some to make -- to give indications before they have seen

19

1 some of the evidence.

2 THE CHIEF CORONER: Absolutely.

3 MR HOUGH: But we would like to be told as soon as possible.

4 THE CHIEF CORONER: So far as scope, so the second item, on that  
5 again it may be that Mr Patterson wants to address me. He  
6 may not. I think, again, I've read his document and I've  
7 obviously heard what you have said, Mr Hough, in response to  
8 it.

9 MR PATTERSON: Yes, there's little of substance that I need to  
10 add. On the first point, the investigations into the  
11 attackers, we are content with the proposed wording.

12 THE CHIEF CORONER: Yes.

13 MR PATTERSON: The proposal would still cover the matters that  
14 are of concern to the families that I represent.

15 THE CHIEF CORONER: Yes, and so that's adding in what I think  
16 Mr Hough described as into 8(a)(ii) about the physical  
17 security on London Bridge, the arrangements.

18 MR PATTERSON: Yes, we (inaudible) that that will be expressly  
19 dealt with, and that is of interest to the families. So we  
20 can (inaudible).

21 THE CHIEF CORONER: Thank you. Any other submissions in respect  
22 of scope?

23 Well, again, Mr Hough, we will -- I will give a direction  
24 that that is added formally to the scope as suggested. So  
25 far as the items 1, 3 and 5 and 7, I was going to take those

20

1 together because effectively , Mr Hough, the address you've  
 2 set out is where we're at, where the investigation is at on  
 3 those various items. But again, really just to see if anyone  
 4 wishes to make any submissions or observations in respect of  
 5 items 1, 3, 5 or 7 on the agenda?  
 6 That's fine . And then finally turning to item 8, timing  
 7 and venue of the inquests , as you have indicated the -- it is  
 8 anticipated the inquests will be heard in this courtroom.  
 9 It's probably the largest courtroom we've got in this  
 10 building and I hope we will be able to accommodate all those  
 11 who wish to be present for the hearing. There will be  
 12 a facility , I think, for an overspill for the press but in  
 13 relation to the particular point you've made about live  
 14 streaming to embassies overseas, again if I can just  
 15 reinforce that if there are such applications the sooner we  
 16 are made aware of them then the arrangements can be made.  
 17 Are there any -- Mr Patterson?  
 18 MR PATTERSON: It's likely that in relation to Canada, Australia  
 19 and France --  
 20 THE CHIEF CORONER: Yes?  
 21 MR PATTERSON: -- that there will be such applications in there.  
 22 Again, we are grateful for the offer of this assistance .  
 23 It's highly likely that those families I represent will take  
 24 up that offer .  
 25 THE CHIEF CORONER: Thank you.

21

1 MR PATTERSON: The details can be provided quite soon, I imagine.  
 2 THE CHIEF CORONER: Well, Mr Patterson, if I can simply encourage  
 3 engagement with the team, and we will do all that we can to  
 4 make sure those links work, and obviously the sooner we have  
 5 that we can try it out. Thank you. Anyone else wish to say  
 6 anything about the timing of the inquests and the venue?  
 7 Mr Hough, so far as this courtroom is concerned I have  
 8 spoken to those who are responsible for the listing of cases  
 9 in this court to ensure that we have the facility of using  
 10 this courtroom from 21 -- well, the week after 21 April  
 11 onwards. I think the 21st is Easter Monday and so the  
 12 courtroom will be available from then for a considerable  
 13 period, long enough for us to deal with the inquests , but  
 14 also should the date need to move by a short period that  
 15 actually we're not then posing problems further down the  
 16 line .  
 17 MR HOUGH: Yes. I don't think that we are strictly limited to  
 18 two months, although that's our current best estimate.  
 19 THE CHIEF CORONER: Yes. Thank you very much. Anything further  
 20 from anyone this morning?  
 21 Very well. In terms of setting a date for a further  
 22 pre-inquest review next January, I won't set a date today but  
 23 can I simply say that a date will be set by arrangement by  
 24 email, probably, by communication and we'll find a date that  
 25 may not be convenient to everyone. It's sometimes difficult

22

1 to do that, but we'll try and make sure it's convenient to as  
 2 many people as possible, almost certainly back in this same  
 3 courtroom, in January.

4 Thank you very much.

5 (11.01 a.m.)

6 (The hearing concluded)

23

1 INDEX  
2  
3 Submissions by MR HOUGH .....1  
4 DIRECTIONS .....18  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

24

25



**gordon (1)** 1:18  
**governing (2)** 2:25  
 11:14  
**grant (5)** 3:18,20  
 4:10,14 11:19  
**granted (1)** 12:9  
**granting (3)** 11:21  
 12:23 14:22  
**grateful (1)** 21:22  
**greatest (1)** 8:3  
**guy (1)** 2:9

**H**

**happened (2)** 5:15 6:12  
**harm (2)** 11:19 12:21  
**hatherall (1)** 2:8  
**havent (2)** 10:3 11:10  
**having (1)** 17:22  
**hear (1)** 3:18  
**heard (2)** 20:7 21:8  
**hearing (20)** 1:6,8  
 2:16,18 3:2 4:18  
 6:2,22,24,25 7:10  
 10:13 12:24 14:11  
 15:17 17:16,19 18:4  
 21:11 23:6  
**hearings (4)** 9:11,14  
 11:8 17:21  
**here (2)** 19:15,22  
**herself (1)** 11:3  
**hertz (1)** 2:13  
**high (3)** 7:3 12:16 13:7  
**highly (1)** 21:23  
**hogan (1)** 1:14  
**holiday (1)** 17:20  
**home (1)** 2:7  
**hope (2)** 17:7 21:10  
**horwell (1)** 2:4  
**hotonnier (2)** 3:4,7  
**hough (29)** 1:3,4,5  
 3:11,12,20,21  
 4:8,13,15  
 16:7,8,13,15,19  
 18:10,23 19:1,6,9,24  
 20:3,7,16,23 21:1  
 22:7,17 24:2  
**howard (1)** 2:12  
**however (1)** 15:1  
**huge (1)** 4:25  
**human (1)** 12:22

**I**

**identified (5)** 9:20  
 12:11,17 18:20 19:18  
**identifies (1)** 8:2  
**identities (2)** 12:13  
 13:20  
**ignacio (1)** 1:19  
**illustrate (1)** 10:17  
**im (4)** 3:17 19:1,15,20  
**imagine (2)** 19:19 22:1  
**immediate (2)** 11:18  
 12:21  
**immediately (1)** 6:25  
**immersion (2)** 5:12 9:25  
**impair (1)** 13:2  
**implications (1)** 5:12  
**important (2)** 7:3 9:15  
**imran (1)** 1:25  
**inaudible (3)** 19:2  
 20:18,20

**include (4)** 8:6,10 11:22  
 13:22  
**including (3)** 5:4,11  
 7:24  
**independent (2)** 2:11  
 17:6  
**index (1)** 24:1  
**indicate (1)** 3:17  
**indicated (1)** 21:7  
**indications (1)** 19:25  
**indicative (5)** 7:11,23  
 8:4,23 9:3  
**individual (1)** 10:9  
**individuals (3)** 14:1,2,22  
**inevitably (1)** 13:21  
**information (1)** 16:20  
**initial (1)** 15:3  
**injuries (1)** 7:16  
**inquest (15)** 1:6,13  
 2:3,15 3:7 5:14,20  
 6:24 7:1 8:19 10:6  
 13:10 17:17 19:18,21  
**inquests (25)** 1:12  
 3:24,25 4:3 5:23  
 6:21,23  
 7:3,3,13,14,17,19 9:15  
 13:11,21 14:3,23 16:5  
 17:9,11 21:7,8 22:6,13  
**inquiry (8)** 4:6  
 7:2,4,6,8,11 8:1,6  
**instructed (5)**  
 1:14,18,21,23 2:12  
**insurance (1)** 2:13  
**intelligence (1)** 17:2  
**intend (2)** 8:25 9:21  
**intention (1)** 10:12  
**interest (5)** 2:25 3:9 4:6  
 8:3 20:19  
**interested (12)** 2:20,24  
 3:7,23 5:22 7:22 9:12  
 10:1 11:16 12:25  
 15:11 17:15  
**interests (1)** 11:21  
**internet (1)** 13:19  
**into (5)** 8:19 12:12 17:3  
 20:10,16  
**introducing (2)** 1:11  
 17:3  
**introductory (1)** 10:12  
**investigation (12)** 1:9  
 4:19,22 5:1,14,20  
 6:10,13,17 7:8 16:23  
 21:2  
**investigations (3)** 4:17  
 8:19 20:10  
**inviting (1)** 9:15  
**involved (3)** 10:23 11:1  
 12:18  
**iopc (1)** 6:6  
**ip (1)** 9:25  
**irrelevant (1)** 14:7  
**issues (2)** 1:10 18:5  
**item (4)** 18:14 19:14  
 20:4 21:6  
**items (4)** 4:15 20:25  
 21:3,5  
**its (10)** 3:17 4:9 9:2  
 10:12 13:10  
 21:9,18,23 22:25 23:1  
**itself (1)** 12:24  
**ive (2)** 20:6,6

**J**

**james (1)** 1:16  
**january (3)** 18:4 22:22  
 23:3  
**john (1)** 2:10  
**jones (2)** 1:13 16:25  
**judicial (1)** 11:20  
**july (6)** 1:1 16:1,3  
 18:16,18 19:7  
**june (1)** 1:8  
**jury (3)** 6:25 7:1 13:1  
**justifies (1)** 3:6  
**justify (1)** 13:9

**K**

**karen (1)** 1:21  
**keep (1)** 10:4  
**kennedys (1)** 2:12  
**key (2)** 10:7 15:12  
**khan (1)** 1:25  
**khuram (9)** 1:22,24  
 8:11 11:4,5 13:14  
 14:25 15:9 16:23  
**killed (1)** 7:21  
**killing (1)** 12:18  
**kirsten (1)** 1:22  
**kirsty (1)** 1:16  
**knife (1)** 7:18  
**knives (1)** 5:5  
**know (2)** 8:18 18:1  
**known (2)** 8:10 16:20

**L**

**ladenberg (1)** 2:9  
**largest (1)** 21:9  
**last (9)** 2:15 3:2 4:18  
 6:1,22 12:2,3,4 17:11  
**later (2)** 8:21 19:10  
**latter (1)** 16:13  
**lawyers (2)** 3:9 12:25  
**lead (1)** 13:12  
**least (1)** 4:3  
**leave (1)** 14:4  
**led (1)** 1:13  
**leek (1)** 2:6  
**legal (2)** 2:25 11:14  
**let (4)** 4:22 6:21 12:6  
 18:1  
**level (1)** 12:22  
**liaison (1)** 1:21  
**life (2)** 9:17 14:25  
**like (2)** 15:5 20:3  
**likely (7)** 3:17,25 4:10  
 14:24 17:11 21:18,23  
**limited (4)** 2:13 8:20  
 13:20 22:17  
**line (1)** 22:16  
**link (1)** 3:8  
**links (1)** 22:4  
**listing (1)** 22:8  
**lists (2)** 9:11,13  
**little (2)** 8:21 20:9  
**live (4)** 14:17,20 17:23  
 21:13  
**lives (2)** 5:16 13:22  
**living (1)** 17:24  
**london (10)** 1:7  
 2:6,9,10,14 3:22 4:1  
 8:24 10:25 20:17  
**long (1)** 22:13  
**look (1)** 8:19  
**lose (1)** 12:15  
**lot (3)** 17:12 19:20,21  
**loved (1)** 9:19  
**lovells (1)** 1:14

**M**

**major (1)** 4:19  
**makes (1)** 3:4  
**making (2)** 13:8 18:19  
**management (1)** 17:10  
**many (1)** 23:2  
**market (1)** 1:7  
**massive (1)** 6:20  
**master (1)** 10:6  
**material (4)** 10:7 15:20  
 16:10 17:4  
**materials (1)** 17:2  
**matter (1)** 8:16  
**matters (2)** 2:18 20:13  
**matthew (1)** 2:4  
**mcnullan (1)** 1:16  
**measures (9)** 4:1 8:24  
 9:6 10:19,21 11:17,22  
 13:10 14:3  
**media (5)** 5:7 11:25  
 15:25 16:3 18:17  
**members (4)** 2:1 14:14  
 15:9 17:24  
**mentioning (1)** 9:3  
**metropolis (1)** 2:5  
**metropolitan (2)** 4:20  
 10:23  
**mi5 (3)** 8:10 16:24 17:3  
**mid2015 (1)** 16:24  
**might (3)** 12:15,19 13:1  
**mind (1)** 10:4  
**model (2)** 5:19 10:15  
**modelling (1)** 5:18  
**moment (1)** 14:11  
**monday (1)** 22:11  
**months (4)** 6:19 15:11  
 17:11 22:18  
**more (4)** 8:15 13:6  
 15:4,5  
**morning (3)** 1:4,5 22:20  
**moss (2)** 1:12 16:25  
**most (2)** 6:2,4  
**move (3)** 9:13 10:19  
 22:14  
**movement (1)** 7:15  
**moving (1)** 12:12  
**ms (4)** 3:7 4:9,12 16:25  
**much (5)** 16:15 17:3  
 19:19 22:19 23:4  
**multiple (1)** 13:17

**N**

**name (4)** 14:9,12,13  
 15:16  
**named (2)** 13:17 14:20  
**namely (1)** 4:4  
**names (5)** 13:23  
 15:8,13,14,19  
**national (3)** 8:8,20 17:4  
**nature (1)** 12:16  
**necessary (1)** 7:5  
**need (3)** 17:14 20:9  
 22:14  
**needed (1)** 10:2  
**next (1)** 22:22  
**night (1)** 6:14  
**number (2)** 1:10 15:9  
**numerous (1)** 5:3

**O**

**observations (3)** 18:22  
 19:16 21:4  
**obvious (1)** 14:12  
**obviously (3)** 10:4 20:7  
 22:4  
**offer (2)** 21:22,24  
**office (1)** 2:11  
**officer (1)** 13:9  
**officers (15)** 1:21 5:3  
 10:22,25 11:8  
 12:8,10,12,13,17  
 13:1,2,4,5,11  
**offices (1)** 12:24  
**offen (1)** 12:13  
**once (3)** 4:8 9:9 15:18  
**ones (1)** 9:19  
**online (3)** 5:21 13:18  
 16:22  
**onwards (1)** 22:11  
**open (4)** 7:8 10:4 11:24  
 15:14  
**operation (1)** 4:21  
**opportunity (3)** 9:18  
 18:23 19:5  
**opus (2)** 5:22 10:11  
**orally (1)** 18:24  
**order (3)** 2:19 13:9,19  
**orders (5)** 11:24  
 12:10,23 14:19 15:7  
**organisation (1)** 6:22  
**organisations (4)** 11:25  
 15:25 16:4 18:18  
**originally (1)** 6:3  
**others (1)** 12:6  
**outline (1)** 4:23  
**over (1)** 4:24  
**overseas (2)** 17:24  
 21:14  
**overspill (1)** 21:12  
**overview (1)** 10:16

**P**

**paragraph (7)** 3:2,3  
 4:21 7:10 8:2 9:4  
 11:15  
**particular (3)** 10:18  
 13:11 21:13  
**particularly (1)** 13:16  
**partner (3)** 1:24 11:2  
 14:9  
**partners (2)** 13:13,24  
**pathologists (1)** 9:23  
**patterson (16)** 1:14  
 3:3,15,16 8:2,18,22  
 20:5,9,13,18  
 21:17,18,21 22:1,2  
**pattersons (1)** 8:12  
**pause (3)** 3:11 4:8 16:7  
**peirce (1)** 1:23  
**pen (1)** 9:16  
**pending (1)** 14:18  
**people (2)** 14:24 23:2  
**period (2)** 22:13,14  
**person (12)** 2:20,24,24  
 3:7,23 9:17 10:1  
 11:16,18 14:17 15:3,7  
**persons (8)** 5:22 7:22  
 9:12 12:25  
 15:11,15,16 17:15  
**petrol (1)** 5:6  
**philip (1)** 2:8  
**phones (1)** 5:7

**physical (5)** 3:25 6:11  
 8:24 9:6 20:16  
**pigeard (1)** 1:16  
**place (1)** 17:21  
**plainly (1)** 3:6  
**platform (2)** 5:22 6:17  
**plausible (1)** 13:4  
**please (1)** 4:23  
**points (5)** 7:25 8:3,4  
 13:8 18:8  
**police (20)** 1:9,20  
 2:5,6,11 4:19,20,24  
 5:13,18 6:3,7,10,13,16  
 7:21 9:1 10:6,23,25  
**policing (1)** 12:12  
**portrait (1)** 9:16  
**poses (1)** 8:17  
**posing (1)** 22:15  
**position (2)** 12:6 13:12  
**possibility (2)** 7:7 17:22  
**possible (5)** 10:3 18:1  
 19:18 20:3 23:2  
**posted (1)** 19:9  
**postmortem (1)** 6:8  
**potential (1)** 15:6  
**practical (2)** 13:20 14:1  
**preinquest (3)** 1:5 18:4  
 22:22  
**premises (1)** 17:23  
**preparations (7)** 1:10  
 5:2,17 6:14 7:13 10:8  
 13:22  
**prepare (3)** 9:10,16  
 17:16  
**prepared (2)** 5:10,14  
**present (4)** 7:12 10:7  
 19:22 21:11  
**presently (1)** 9:21  
**press (6)** 2:17 12:17  
 13:18 14:21 18:17  
 21:12  
**pressure (1)** 16:16  
**presuppose (1)** 8:16  
**prevent (2)** 8:14 12:11  
**prevented (2)** 8:17  
 12:14  
**previous (1)** 2:18  
**previously (1)** 17:22  
**principle (1)** 11:24  
**principles (2)** 2:25 11:14  
**priority (1)** 16:23  
**privacy (1)** 11:22  
**probably (4)** 18:3,13  
 21:9 22:24  
**problems (1)** 22:15  
**probus (1)** 2:13  
**procedural (2)** 1:10  
 18:5  
**proceedings (1)** 17:23  
**proceeds (1)** 7:9  
**produced (1)** 7:5  
**profile (3)** 7:3 12:16  
 13:7  
**progress (1)** 6:1  
**prominent (1)** 14:21  
**promised (1)** 6:1  
**proper (1)** 4:6  
**properly (1)** 17:15  
**proposal (4)** 16:9  
 18:15,22 20:13  
**proposals (1)** 18:12  
**propose (4)** 2:18 9:4  
 15:23 18:7

**proposed (2)** 7:23 20:11  
**protected (1)** 12:13  
**protection (2)** 13:20  
 14:1  
**provide (3)** 10:16  
 13:20,25  
**provided (4)** 15:1,13  
 19:21 22:1  
**provides (1)** 3:8  
**provision (1)** 14:18  
**public (1)** 16:15  
**publicly (1)** 12:11  
**purpose (1)** 1:8  
**purposes (1)** 5:21

**Q**

**qc (6)** 1:14 2:4,5,6,12  
 16:22  
**qualification (1)** 8:5  
**quality (1)** 12:24  
**question (6)** 7:2  
 8:13,15,17,24 11:19  
**questioning (1)** 10:13  
**quite (2)** 14:7 22:1  
**quote (1)** 8:6

**R**

**rachid (4)** 1:24 11:2  
 13:14 14:8  
**raise (1)** 18:8  
**raised (2)** 9:1 17:22  
**read (3)** 3:15 11:9 20:6  
**ready (1)** 10:11  
**real (2)** 11:18 12:21  
**really (2)** 19:15 21:3  
**reason (2)** 11:11 12:3  
**reasonable (1)** 18:6  
**reasons (1)** 14:13  
**received (3)** 6:15 10:3  
 14:15  
**recently (2)** 6:15 14:15  
**recognised (1)** 14:5  
**reconstruction (2)** 5:11  
 9:23  
**recruitment (1)** 13:5  
**redacted (4)** 14:6,10  
 15:10,17  
**redouane (3)** 1:24 11:2  
 13:14  
**redouanes (1)** 14:8  
**refer (2)** 8:23 16:11  
**referred (1)** 10:15  
**reflection (1)** 9:2  
**refusal (1)** 11:17  
**refused (5)** 12:10 13:7  
 14:19 15:7,12  
**refusing (1)** 13:1  
**regards (6)** 6:1 12:8  
 13:13 14:8,15 15:22  
**reinforce (1)** 21:15  
**relates (1)** 4:3  
**relation (8)** 3:7  
 15:15,23 16:10  
 18:12,22 21:13,18  
**relatives (2)** 1:23 11:5  
**relevant (7)** 8:15 9:7  
 14:12 15:13,19,21  
 17:4  
**remain (3)** 13:18 14:21  
 17:10  
**remainder (1)** 7:17  
**remaining (1)** 18:5

reply (1) 16:2  
 report (3) 6:6,9 16:21  
 reporting (4) 13:23  
 14:23 15:3,20  
 reports (9) 5:10,14  
 6:10,13,18,18 9:10  
 13:18 14:21  
 represent (2) 20:14  
 21:23  
 representation (1) 1:11  
 representations (4)  
 3:14,19 4:11 18:17  
 represented (4) 1:20,25  
 2:2 19:22  
 representing (1) 2:8  
 represents (1) 8:3  
 reprisal (1) 12:20  
 request (2) 10:2 16:4  
 reserve (1) 11:12  
 resolved (2) 11:20 18:5  
 resources (1) 12:15  
 respect (6) 3:14 12:5  
 14:16 19:12 20:21  
 21:4  
 respective (2) 12:15  
 19:8  
 respond (3) 12:3 16:1  
 18:19  
 response (3) 12:2 13:18  
 20:7  
 responsibility (1) 4:2  
 responsible (1) 22:8  
 retention (1) 13:5  
 return (1) 8:21  
 review (2) 17:5 22:22  
 reviewed (2) 5:25 6:16  
 reviewing (2) 9:12 17:2  
 richard (1) 2:4  
 rights (2) 11:22,25  
 risk (2) 11:18 12:21  
 roles (1) 12:12  
 rough (1) 17:12  
 ruling (2) 7:6 16:3

**S**

samantha (1) 2:6  
 same (1) 23:2  
 sara (1) 1:17  
 save (1) 18:19  
 saying (2) 13:9 19:1  
 sbastien (2) 1:17 3:5  
 scene (3) 5:4,20 10:15  
 scheduled (1) 18:4  
 scope (13) 6:21  
 7:2,4,6,11,23,25  
 8:5,23 9:3 20:4,22,24  
 screened (2) 11:9 14:2  
 screening (1) 11:11  
 searches (1) 13:19  
 second (4) 1:5 3:22  
 12:16 20:4  
 secondly (4) 8:22 10:24  
 13:21 19:14  
 secretary (1) 2:7  
 section (1) 2:22  
 security (10) 2:8 4:1  
 8:20,20,24 9:6  
 17:1,2,5 20:17  
 securitysensitive (1)  
 16:19  
 see (7) 3:13 4:10 14:11  
 15:5 18:11,21 21:3  
 seen (2) 12:25 19:25

seized (2) 4:25 5:8  
 selection (1) 9:8  
 senior (1) 13:4  
 sensible (1) 18:10  
 series (3) 5:7,10,14  
 serious (2) 11:19 12:21  
 served (1) 16:11  
 service (1) 2:9  
 services (1) 8:20  
 set (14) 2:16,19 5:21  
 7:24 11:14 12:1 16:21  
 17:8 18:2,13,15 21:2  
 22:22,23  
 setting (1) 22:21  
 seven (2) 16:2,19  
 shall (1) 17:1  
 shant (1) 15:3  
 short (2) 11:16 22:14  
 shortly (3) 6:12 8:1  
 17:18  
 should (15) 6:23 8:6  
 12:9,13 13:8,15  
 14:5,9,17 16:10,11  
 18:4,20 19:17 22:14  
 shouldnt (1) 14:10  
 shows (1) 16:22  
 sian (1) 1:13  
 signed (1) 5:24  
 significant (1) 13:16  
 signs (1) 8:10  
 simple (1) 13:19  
 sir (7) 1:5 2:15 3:21  
 4:12 6:21 15:24 18:23  
 six (1) 1:15  
 sjovoll (1) 1:22  
 slater (1) 1:18  
 solicitors (3) 1:13 2:15  
 16:5  
 sometimes (1) 22:25  
 soon (5) 10:3 18:1  
 19:18 20:3 22:1  
 sooner (2) 21:15 22:4  
 sought (2) 11:24 19:7  
 special (6) 10:19,21  
 11:17,21 13:10 14:3  
 specific (3) 10:10 15:1  
 16:16  
 specifically (1) 9:2  
 speech (1) 11:25  
 spoken (1) 22:8  
 stage (3) 15:4 17:12  
 18:7  
 start (3) 10:13 17:17  
 18:6  
 statements (7) 4:24  
 6:2,4,15,17 9:9,16  
 status (1) 2:21  
 steps (1) 13:25  
 steroid (2) 5:13 9:24  
 stevens (1) 2:12  
 still (4) 5:6 12:25 17:13  
 20:13  
 story (1) 9:19  
 streamed (1) 17:23  
 streaming (1) 21:14  
 stress (1) 13:8  
 strictly (2) 7:4 22:17  
 subject (6) 4:19 5:8  
 8:15,25 12:20 16:23  
 subjects (2) 5:10 11:6  
 submit (4) 3:10 12:9  
 13:14 14:16  
 substance (1) 20:9

substantial (1) 17:14  
 subtopic (1) 9:4  
 subtopics (1) 7:24  
 suffer (1) 7:16  
 sufficient (1) 2:24  
 suggest (2) 8:5 18:3  
 suggested (3) 7:11  
 17:17 20:24  
 suggesting (1) 15:24  
 suggestion (1) 10:3  
 suggestions (1) 10:4  
 suggests (1) 8:4  
 summarise (2) 4:22  
 12:6  
 summary (1) 7:12  
 supplied (1) 6:3  
 support (4) 4:2 14:1,3  
 15:18  
 supporting (1) 9:20  
 sure (2) 22:4 23:1

**T**

taken (3) 4:24 13:25  
 14:3  
 task (3) 6:20 9:13 17:14  
 taylor (1) 1:21  
 team (8) 1:13 4:24 6:16  
 9:1 10:6 19:18,21 22:3  
 teams (1) 1:9  
 terms (2) 9:5 22:21  
 terrorist (1) 1:7  
 terrorists (1) 12:18  
 thank (10) 3:21 4:12,14  
 16:18 19:11 20:21  
 21:25 22:5,19 23:4  
 thats (5) 17:12 18:7  
 20:15 21:6 22:18  
 themselves (2) 5:1 10:9  
 thereafter (1) 6:25  
 therefore (2) 9:3 13:19  
 theres (1) 20:9  
 theyre (2) 11:11 14:6  
 thing (2) 18:10,13  
 third (3) 11:1 12:23  
 18:3  
 thirdly (1) 13:25  
 thomas (5) 1:19 4:5  
 5:12 7:14 9:25  
 three (2) 10:25 13:15  
 through (1) 18:11  
 time (5) 6:7 16:10,16  
 18:2,6  
 timescale (1) 17:19  
 timetable (1) 18:16  
 timetabling (1) 9:14  
 timing (3) 17:9 21:6  
 22:6  
 today (6) 12:7 18:12,16  
 19:10,23 22:22  
 todays (1) 2:16  
 todner (1) 1:21  
 together (1) 21:1  
 told (1) 20:3  
 too (2) 6:16 16:15  
 topic (2) 8:21 16:19  
 topics (1) 7:12  
 total (1) 17:11  
 tranches (1) 5:25  
 transport (2) 2:14 3:22  
 try (2) 22:5 23:1  
 turn (6) 4:15,16 6:21  
 7:2 9:8 14:14  
 turning (1) 21:6  
 typically (2) 7:4 11:22

**U**

uk (4) 2:13 8:8 17:23,25  
 ultimately (1) 15:12  
 understand (3) 8:12  
 12:22 19:24  
 understandably (1)  
 12:19  
 undertakings (1) 5:24  
 unless (3) 11:17 18:7  
 19:16  
 unsure (1) 11:11  
 update (2) 1:9 4:17  
 uploaded (2) 6:16,18  
 used (2) 5:5 10:16  
 using (1) 22:9  
 usual (1) 5:23

**V**

valuable (2) 3:8 12:15  
 van (2) 5:5,6  
 various (3) 4:22 19:22  
 21:3  
 vehicle (1) 7:15  
 venue (2) 21:7 22:6  
 vetted (1) 17:1  
 via (1) 10:11  
 victim (1) 7:19  
 victims (9) 3:24 5:15  
 6:5,9,11,24 7:17 9:16  
 10:10  
 video (3) 5:3 6:11 10:5  
 viewed (3) 4:25 5:9  
 17:24  
 viewpoint (1) 17:6  
 visual (1) 10:5  
 vulnerable (1) 15:3

**W**

wants (1) 20:5  
 warning (1) 8:9  
 way (2) 5:23 7:20  
 website (1) 19:10  
 websites (1) 5:9  
 wed (2) 10:2 17:22  
 week (2) 2:15 22:10  
 weeks (2) 6:19 15:11  
 weighed (1) 11:23  
 welcome (1) 9:18  
 westminster (1) 17:7  
 weve (2) 7:24 21:9  
 wider (1) 7:4  
 widow (3) 1:22,24 11:4  
 widowed (3) 11:2 13:13  
 14:8  
 wish (3) 12:7 21:11 22:5  
 wishes (4) 19:4,16,19  
 21:4  
 withheld (1) 14:13  
 withholding (1) 15:19  
 witness (4) 4:24 6:11  
 9:8,11  
 witnessed (1) 10:14  
 witnesses (2) 6:4 9:21  
 wont (1) 22:22  
 wording (1) 20:11  
 work (6) 5:4,6,19 17:12  
 19:20 22:4  
 working (2) 10:6,9  
 worth (1) 9:2  
 writing (1) 12:1  
 written (8) 2:1,16 3:1  
 9:21 16:3 18:17 19:1,3

**X**

xavier (4) 1:19 4:5 5:12  
 7:14

**Y**

youssef (2) 2:2 16:25  
 youve (2) 21:1,13

**Z**

zaghba (2) 2:2 16:25  
 zelenak (1) 1:17

**1**

1 (3) 20:25 21:5 24:2  
 10 (1) 11:25  
 1028 (1) 1:2  
 1101 (1) 23:5  
 14 (1) 18:16  
 18 (1) 24:3  
 1800 (1) 4:24

**2**

20 (4) 11:15 16:1 18:16  
 19:7  
 2009 (1) 2:23  
 2017 (1) 1:8  
 2018 (1) 1:1  
 2019 (3) 17:18,19 18:4  
 21 (3) 17:19 22:10,10  
 21st (1) 22:11  
 27 (3) 16:3 18:18 19:7

**3**

3 (3) 1:8 20:25 21:5  
 354 (1) 6:15

**4**

4 (2) 3:3 19:14  
 472m (1) 2:22

**5**

5 (3) 4:21 20:25 21:5

**6**

6 (2) 1:1 18:14

**7**

7 (2) 20:25 21:5

**8**

8 (4) 3:2 7:10 11:22  
 21:6  
 8aii (1) 20:16  
 8b (1) 9:4

**9**

9 (1) 8:2